

HUTP-98/A037
hep-th/9805096

Large N Superconformal Gauge Theories and Supergravity Orientifolds

Ansar Fayyazuddin* and Michał Spaliński^{†‡}

*Lyman Laboratory of Physics
Harvard University
Cambridge, MA 02138, U.S.A.*

Abstract

Maldacena's duality between conformal field theories and supergravity is applied to some conformal invariant models with 8 supercharges appearing in the F-theory moduli space on a locus of constant coupling. This includes $Sp(2N)$ gauge theories describing the world-volume dynamics of D3-branes in the presence of D7-branes and an orientifold plane. Other examples of this kind are models with exceptional global symmetries which have no perturbative field theory description. In all these cases the duality is used to describe perturbations by primary marginal and relevant operators.

*email: ansar@schwinger.harvard.edu

[†]email: mspal@schwinger.harvard.edu

[‡]On leave from the Institute of Theoretical Physics, Warsaw University.

1 Introduction

Maldacena has proposed a new kind of “duality” between large N conformal field theories in various dimensions and supergravity on anti-de Sitter spaces [1]. The idea advocated in [1] is based on the construction of gauge theory as the world volume theory of N D-branes in the $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ limit. The limit is taken in such a way as to keep the masses of field theory excitations finite. On the other hand this system can be described by the black brane solutions of supergravity. In the $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$ limit one is probing the near-horizon geometry of the black branes which is typically an anti-de Sitter space times a compact manifold [2, 3, 4]. Since supergravity is expected to provide a reliable description only if the curvature is small (so α' corrections can be neglected), one is lead to consider a limit in which the radius of curvature is large which corresponds to the limit of a large number of branes. This observation has attracted a great deal of attention. Apart from comparing the spectra, it was also possible to calculate correlation functions in the conformal field theory using this correspondence[5, 6, 7].

The original conjecture referred to theories with 16 supersymmetries, however it was quickly realized that one could also use it in theories with less supersymmetry [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Here a family of superconformal field theories with 8 supersymmetries is considered: the $Sp(2N)$ gauge theory with an antisymmetric tensor hypermultiplet and four fundamental hypermultiplets. This system is an orientifold of type IIB theory in which D7-branes are localized at the orientifold fixed planes with D3-branes. The field theory of interest describes the D3-brane dynamics. This model has a vanishing beta function and is believed to be exactly superconformal. Since the field theory description is known, one can analyze the spectrum and make a comparison much as in [5, 11]. The theory has a global $SO(8)$ symmetry, due to gauge symmetry enhancement in the D7-brane worldvolume.

This theory can also be regarded as a particular F-theory compactification in a region where the coupling is constant[15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. This way of looking at it is revealing, and it leads one to consider other superconformal quantum systems, which do not have any known field theory description. While in the $Sp(2N)$ case the orientifold involves a Z_2 reflection, the cases with Z_3 , Z_4 , Z_6 lead to worldvolume field theories on the D3-branes with E_6 , E_7 , E_8 global symmetry[21, 22, 23]. While these theories have no known field theory description, they can in the present context be treated in the same way as the Z_2 case which does. All of these theories can be obtained

by compactifying an M-theory 5-brane [24] on a torus in the presence of an end-of-the-world 9-brane. The 5-brane theory has E_8 global symmetry due to the presence of the E_8 gauge symmetry living on the 9-brane. This suggests the possibility that the non-perturbative 4-dimensional E_n theories obtained from the six-dimensional theory may not be ordinary field theories but could inherit tension-less strings from its progenitor.

In the limit where the correspondence advocated in [1, 6, 5] is expected to hold these theories turn out to be described by Z_n orientifolds¹ of $AdS_5 \times S^5$. The twist acts only on the S^5 factor, so that the resulting theory on the boundary of AdS_5 is conformal. It is straightforward to analyze what happens to the Kaluza-Klein spectrum of the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and to identify the chiral primary operators. This note presents the results for the low-lying scalar operators. In the $Sp(2N)$ case it is also easy to identify the chiral primaries in terms of the fields appearing in the perturbative description, using their group theoretical properties which follow from the calculation.

While the $Sp(2N)$ case is fairly well understood since it has a perturbative formulation[15], the remaining cases have no known field theory description: they are inherently non-perturbative. Nevertheless, the methods developed in [5, 11] allow one to identify the quantum numbers of the relevant and marginal perturbations of these theories even though no gauge field theory description is known.

Due to multiplet shortening [27, 28, 29] it is expected that information about the spectrum of primary operators should be exact. In this sense supergravity allows the study of inherently non-perturbative phenomena on the gauge theory side which are inaccessible using standard field theory methods.

2 F-theory Orientifolds with Constant Coupling

F-theory [30] is believed to describe non-perturbative type IIB theory. It is a powerful method for generating non-trivial type IIB backgrounds with varying dilaton and Ramond-Ramond scalar fields. By definition F-theory on an elliptically fibered manifold is type IIB string theory on the base manifold

¹Orbifolds of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ have recently been discussed in [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14], and orientifolds in [25, 26].

of the fibration with the complexified coupling $\tau = \chi + i \exp(-\phi)$ identified with the modular parameter of the elliptic fiber. At a point on the base where the fiber degenerates one has a localized 7-brane.

In [15, 16] F-theory compactifications on elliptic K3 with constant type IIB coupling were considered. These K3's are described by orbifolds T^4/Z_n with $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$ and give 8-dimensional gauge symmetry of $SO(8)$, E_6 , E_7 , E_8 respectively which can be understood from the point of view of coincident 7-branes at the orientifold point [31, 32, 33, 34]. The base of the elliptic fibration is an orbifold T^2/Z_n . The fiber above a fixed point degenerates and there is a nontrivial monodromy in the fiber [15, 16], which means that apart from the Z_n projection on the base there is also a Z_n action on the complexified coupling (dilaton and axion pair) and antisymmetric field (NS-NS and R-R two-form gauge fields). This monodromy is -1 in the Z_2 case, and S , ST and $(ST)^2$ in the Z_3 , Z_4 and Z_6 cases.

These models can also be described as supergravity solutions with metric [35]:

$$ds^2 = \tau_2 \left| \eta^2(\tau) \Delta^{-1/12} dz \right|^2 + \delta_{ij} dx_i dx_j, \quad (1)$$

where τ_2 is the imaginary part of the coupling τ , Δ is the discriminant of the elliptic fiber

$$\Delta(z) = 4f^3(z) + 27g^2(z) \quad (2)$$

and η is the Dedekind function. The metric is modular invariant. The K3s are described by the Weierstrass equation for the elliptic fiber as a function of z , the coordinate on the base manifold P^1 :

$$y^2 = x^3 + f(z)x + g(z) \quad (3)$$

with f a polynomial of degree 8 and g a polynomial of degree 12 [30]. τ is determined from the j -invariant of the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} j(\tau(z)) &= \frac{4(24f(z))^3}{\Delta(z)} \\ &= \frac{(\theta_1^8(\tau) + \theta_2^8(\tau) + \theta_3^8(\tau))^3}{\eta^{24}(\tau)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

K3 manifolds with constant τ are the ones for which j is constant. There are 4 cases for which a type IIB orientifold description exists [15, 16]:

(i) T^4/Z_2 :

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \alpha \prod_{i=1}^4 (z - z_i)^2, \\ g(z) &= \prod_{i=1}^4 (z - z_i)^3. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The coupling constant depends on the parameter α and can be fixed to be any value by choosing an appropriate α . In this case there are 4 points ($z = z_i$) at which the fiber degenerates. The K3 has four D_4 singularities resulting in the gauge group $SO(8)^4$. Each $SO(8)$ can be understood from the type IIB point of view as 4 D7-branes coinciding with an orientifold fixed plane [15].

(ii) T^4/Z_3

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= 0, \\ g(z) &= \prod_{i=1}^3 (z - z_i)^4. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

In this case [16], $j = 0$ thus the coupling constant is fixed to be $\tau = \exp i\pi/3$. The singularity type close to $z = z_i$ is E_6 , resulting in the gauge group $E_6 \times E_6 \times E_6$.

(iii) T^4/Z_4

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= (z - z_1)^3 (z - z_2)^3 (z - z_3)^2, \\ g(z) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In this case [16], $j = (24)^3$; thus the coupling constant is fixed to be $\tau = i$. The singularity type close to $z = z_1, z_2$ is E_7 and close to $z = z_3$ it is D_4 resulting in the gauge group $E_7 \times E_7 \times SO(8)$.

(iv) T^4/Z_6

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= 0, \\ g(z) &= (z - z_1)^5 (z - z_2)^4 (z - z_3)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In this case [16], $j = 0$; thus the coupling constant is fixed to be $\tau = \exp i\pi/3$. The singularity type close to $z = z_1$ is E_8 , close to $z = z_2$ it is E_6 and close to $z = z_3$ it is D_4 , resulting in the gauge group $E_8 \times E_6 \times SO(8)$.

The gauge groups above can also be understood using Chan-Paton factor analysis of coinciding 7-branes using multi-pronged strings [32, 33, 34].

Let us focus our attention on the vicinity of one of the points where the elliptic fiber degenerates, i.e. where the 7-branes are localized. One can introduce D3-branes [18] in the above backgrounds and reduce the supersymmetry to 8 real supersymmetries corresponding to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ in 4 dimensions. The theory living on the 3-branes will be an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Seiberg-Witten theory with the same flavour symmetry group as the 7-brane gauge group [18]. As discussed above the Seiberg-Witten theory of the 3-brane probing the 7-brane geometry can have a perturbative description only in case (i).

If one introduces N 3-branes close to a D_4 singularity and takes the other singularities to be far away, then the resulting theory on the probe is a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theory with gauge group $Sp(2N)$ coupled to a second rank anti-symmetric tensor hypermultiplet and 4 fundamental hypermultiplets [19]. The four fundamental hypermultiplets arise from strings stretched between the D7-branes and the D3-branes. The anti-symmetric tensor arises from the action of the orientifold projection on the fields transverse to the D3-branes but parallel to the D7-branes. The theory has a Coulomb branch parameterized by the expectation value of the complex scalar field in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet and corresponds to moving D3-branes away from the fixed plane. There is also a Higgs branch parameterized by the expectation values of the two complex scalars in the anti-symmetric tensor hypermultiplet, they correspond to the motion of a subset of the D3-branes parallel to the D7-branes. Finally, there is a second Higgs branch which is parameterized by the scalars in the fundamental representation and corresponds to dissolving some D3-branes inside D7-branes.

3 Supergravity Solutions

This section describes adding D3-branes to the D7-brane backgrounds described above. Let us take N D3-branes with the worldvolume along x^0, x^1, x^2, x^3 and the appropriate number of D7-branes (according to the discussion in the previous section) with worldvolumes along $x^0, x^1, \dots, x^7$. Let $z \equiv x^8 + ix^9, v \equiv x^4 + ix^5, \tilde{v} \equiv x^6 + ix^7$. The position of the D7-brane is given by z , while the D3-brane position is given by $z, v, \tilde{v}$. From the point of view of the field theory on the D3-branes z parameterizes the Coulomb branch of the theory while $v, \tilde{v}$ parameterize the Higgs branch. Thus z corresponds

to the vacuum expectation value of the adjoint Higgs, while $v, \tilde{v}$ correspond to the expectation values of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ chiral multiplets comprising the hypermultiplet in the antisymmetric representation of the gauge group.

Let us focus our attention on the vicinity of an F-theory K3 singularity which corresponds to coinciding 7-branes in type IIB theory. For the present cases, where τ is a constant, the part of the metric describing the D7-branes² (and Ω_7) backgrounds with zero net 7-brane charge is (up to a constant normalization):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SO}(8): \quad ds^2 &= |z^{-\frac{1}{2}} dz|^2, \\ E_6: \quad ds^2 &= |z^{-\frac{2}{3}} dz|^2, \\ E_7: \quad ds^2 &= |z^{-\frac{3}{4}} dz|^2, \\ E_8: \quad ds^2 &= |z^{-\frac{5}{6}} dz|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

These can be described as orbifolds $\mathbb{C}/Z_n$ with $n = 2, 3, 4, 6$ respectively. The covering space is the complex u -plane, with $z = u^n$. In these coordinates the metric is $ds^2 = |du|^2$. The action of the orientifold group on u is $u \rightarrow \exp(2\pi i/n)u$.

It is easy to introduce 3-branes into the problem: this is done in such a way as to respect the identification under the orientifold group. The black-brane solution for 3-branes of [36] reads

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= f^{-1/2} dx_{\parallel}^2 + f^{1/2} dx_{\perp}^2, \\ f &= 1 + \frac{4\pi g N \alpha'^2}{r^4} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} dx_{\parallel}^2 &= -(dx^0)^2 + \sum_{k=0}^3 (dx^k)^2, \\ dx_{\perp}^2 &= |du|^2 + |dv|^2 + |d\tilde{v}|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The solution in the presence of the 7-brane — orientifold system is obtained simply by identifying $u \rightarrow u \exp(i2\pi/n)$. One can now express the metric in terms of the single valued $z = u^n$. It is convenient to use the following variables: let r be the radial distance away from the point where the N D3-branes are located (in the 6 dimensional transverse space), and let R be the

²In the exceptional cases these are actually (p,q) 7-branes

projection onto the the covering u-plane, i.e. $R = r \cos \phi$ with $\phi \in [0, \pi/2]$. Then one has $z = R^n \exp i\theta$ with $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= f^{-1/2} dx_{\parallel}^2 + f^{1/2} (dr^2 + r^2 d\phi^2 + \frac{r^2}{n^2} \cos^2 \phi d\theta^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \phi d\Omega_3^2), \\ f &= 1 + \frac{4\pi g N \alpha'^2}{r^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Taking the near horizon limit as in [1], keeping $r/\alpha' \equiv U$ fixed while taking $\alpha' \rightarrow 0$, one arrives at:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \alpha' \left\{ \frac{U^2}{\sqrt{4\pi g N}} dx_{\parallel}^2 + \sqrt{4\pi g N} \frac{dU^2}{U^2} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sqrt{4\pi g N} (d\phi^2 + \frac{\cos^2 \phi}{n^2} d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \phi d\Omega_3^2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This shows that in the near-horizon limit the metric looks like $AdS_5 \times S^5/Z_n$ as in [8, 9], except that now the orbifold group action is different. Consider $SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1) \subset SU(4) \sim SO(6)$ where $SO(6)$ is the isometry group of S^5 . In [8, 9] the orbifold action was taken to act on one of the $SU(2)$ factors, whereas in the present case the orbifolding acts only on the $U(1)$ factor. The orbifold action does not break the $U(1)$ but identifies $\exp(i\theta)$ with $\exp i(\theta + 2\pi/n)$.

The $SO(9, 1)$ Lorentz group is broken by the D7-brane to $SO(7, 1) \times SO(2)$ and the D3-branes break this further to $SO(3, 1) \times SO(4) \times SO(2)$. Thus the global symmetry group of the theory on the D3-brane is $SO(3, 1) \times SO(4) \times U(1) \sim SO(3, 1) \times SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. This is the Lorentz group in $3+1$ dimensions, an $SU(2) \times U(1)$ R-symmetry group (as expected for $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry) and an $SU(2)$ (non-R) global symmetry.

4 The Spectrum

The spectrum of type IIB supergravity on $AdS_5 \times S^5$ was found in [37, 38]. It falls into representations of the $SU(4)$ R-symmetry. The states of spin zero families of zero or negative mass can be summarized by the following table:

Δ	m^2	Range	Dynkin Label	Irreps
k	$k(k-4)$	$k \geq 2$	$(0, k, 0)$	20' , 50 , 105 , ...
k+3	$(k-1)(k+3)$	$k \geq 0$	$(0, k, 2)$	10 , 45 , 126 , ...
k+4	$k(k+4)$	$k \geq 0$	$(0, k, 0)$	1 , 6 , 20' , ...

Table 1

Here Δ is the dimension of the operator that the field couples to in the conformal field theory. It is related to the mass through the formula [5]

$$m^2 = (\Delta + p)(\Delta + p - d) \quad (14)$$

for p-form fields in d dimensions, where the correct solution for Δ is the larger of the two roots.

The orientifold construction that one is lead to consider for the D3-D7 system involves modding out by Z_n subgroups of the $U(1)$ in the decomposition $SU(4) \supset SU(2) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$. In addition, the orientifold acts non-trivially on the supergravity fields. As discussed in section 2, it affects the complexified coupling and two form gauge fields, leaving the other fields invariant[15, 16]. This will be discussed on a case by case basis below. Since it is only the second rank antisymmetric tensor fields that are non-trivially transformed, this will only affect the modes coming from the representations **10** and **45** of $SU(4)$ [37, 38].

The $SU(4)$ representations appearing in table 1 have to be decomposed under $SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$. The action of the orientifold group is a product of the (spacetime) orbifold phase determined by the $U(1)_R$ charge and the orientifold action on the supergravity fields. In the cases where the latter action is trivial the projection leaves the operators with $Q = 0 \bmod 2n$ (for $\Gamma = Z_n$). These are all the cases which do not arise from the two-forms. The operators coming from the two-forms are in the **10** and **45** of $SU(4)$, and there one has to account for the extra phase from the orientifold group. It turns out, as discussed below, that the operators which remain after the projection have $Q_R = \pm 2 \bmod 2n$.

This leads to the spectrum of invariant operators in the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ theory. Only some of the resulting operators will be chiral primaries of the conformal field theory. This is due to the fact, that for a chiral primary operator³ of

³There will of course be antichiral primaries also, which are not shown in the tables below.

dimension Δ the following relation must be satisfied:

$$\Delta = \frac{1}{2}(R + 2J), \quad (15)$$

where R is the R-charge of the operator, and J is its $U(1)_J$ charge⁴.

4.1 The Z_2 case

In this case the field theory is known, so a detailed comparison can be made. The Kaluza-Klein harmonics can be obtained by performing the Z_2 projection as described above. For example, using the results in table 1 we have in the $\mathcal{N} = 4$ theory modes in the $\mathbf{20}'$ representation of $SU(4)$. This representation is decomposed as

$$\mathbf{20}' = (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_4 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-4} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0 \quad (16)$$

under the global symmetry group $SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$. The modes get a phase $\exp(i\pi Q_R/2)$ under the orientifold action, so the invariant representations are those with the $U(1)$ charge $Q_R = 0 \bmod 4$. Thus one finds that only 4 representations are left:

$$\mathbf{20}' \supset (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_4 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-4} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0. \quad (17)$$

In case of the operators arising from the second rank gauge fields one has to take care to account for the “extra” phase coming from the orientifold action on these fields, as discussed earlier. These operators come from the representations $\mathbf{10}$ and $\mathbf{45}$ of $SU(4)$, which decompose as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{10} &= (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_0 \\ \mathbf{45} &= (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{-2}, \\ &\oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-4} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_4 \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The orientifold action on the two-form fields is in this case

$$\begin{pmatrix} B \\ \tilde{B} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} B \\ \tilde{B} \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

where B is the NS-NS two-form field, and $\tilde{B}$ is the R-R two-form. Thus each representation in the decomposition (18) gets in total a phase $\exp(i\pi(Q_R + 2)/2)$.

⁴This is the $U(1)$ subgroup of $SU(2)_R$ as defined in [39].

Thus in this case only operators with $Q_R = 2 \bmod 4$ remain. Specifically, in the decomposition of **10** only the first two representations are invariant and the last one drops out, whereas in the case of the **45** the first four survive and the remaining ones are projected out.

Proceeding this way one finds the results summarized in table 2a below:

Δ	$SU(4)$	$SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$
2	20'	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_4 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-4} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$
3	10	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2$
3	50	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_4 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{-4} \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_0$
4	1	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$
4	45	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{-2}$
4	105	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_4 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-4} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_8 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-8}$ $\oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_4 \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_{-4} \oplus (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{5})_0$

Table 2a: The Z_2 case: invariant operators.

The $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$ operator here is the dilaton.

For dimension $\Delta = 2$ only the $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0$ and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_4$ modes have charges satisfying (15), so only they correspond to chiral primary fields. In fact one can easily identify these operators in the field theory. In the present case one can use the perturbative field theory description of the worldvolume dynamics [15, 19]. The low energy degrees of freedom of this field theory include (in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ language) the vector multiplet W_α and the chiral multiplets ϕ in the adjoint representation of $Sp(2N)$ and $V, \tilde{V}$ in the antisymmetric representation. These fields correspond to the geometric distances $z, v, \tilde{v}$ introduced in section 3. Under the global symmetry group $SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$ these transform as

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi &\sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_2 \\
V &\sim (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_0 \\
W_\alpha &\sim (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_1
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The operators $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0$ and $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_4$ can be represented as $Tr(V^2)$ and $Tr(\phi^2)$. For example ⁵ $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0$ has $R = 0$ and $J = 2$, so (15) is satisfied. In the case of $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-4}$ however one has $R = -4, J = 0$, so (15) is not satisfied.

⁵The normalization here is such that for the fundamental of $SU(2)$ J assumes values $-1, 1$.

Carrying out this argument for dimensions up to 4 one reaches the conclusions summarized in Table 2b. This table, as well as the following ones, contains only chiral primaries; anti-chiral primary operators will also be present in the spectrum (and protected) and are conjugates of the ones listed.

Δ	$SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$	Field content
2	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_4$	$Tr(\phi^2)$
2	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0$	$Tr(V^2)$
3	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2$	$Tr(W^2)$
3	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})_0$	$Tr(V^3)$
3	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_4$	$Tr(V\phi^2)$
4	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})_2$	$Tr(W_\alpha W^\alpha V)$
4	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_8$	$Tr(\phi^4)$
4	$(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{5})_0$	$Tr(V^4)$
4	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_4$	$Tr(V^2\phi^2)$

Table 2b: The Z_2 case: chiral primary fields.

As in other cases studied in the literature, it was possible to identify uniquely all the surviving Kaluza-Klein states with appropriate operators on the gauge theory side.

4.2 The Cases with Exceptional Global Symmetry

This section presents the results for the cases of Z_3 , Z_4 and Z_6 , for which the theory on the D3-brane worldvolume has global exceptional symmetry E_6 , E_7 , E_8 respectively.

For the Z_3 case the invariant operators are those with the $U(1)$ charge $Q = 0 \bmod 6$, except for the operators coming from the $\mathbf{10}$ and $\mathbf{45}$. In the present case the orientifold action on the two-form fields is

$$\begin{pmatrix} B \\ \tilde{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B \\ \tilde{B} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (21)$$

The surviving modes will be linear combinations of these:

$$\begin{aligned} B' &= e^{-2\pi i/3} B + \tilde{B}, \\ \tilde{B}' &= e^{+2\pi i/3} B + \tilde{B}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

These combinations transform multiplicatively. The total phase is then $\exp(i\pi(Q_R \pm 2)/3)$, so the surviving operators in the **10** and **45** are those with $Q_R = \pm 2 \bmod 6$. This way one finds the invariant operators given in Table 3a below:

Δ	$SU(4)$	$SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$
2	20'	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$
3	10	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2$
3	50	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_6 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-6} \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})_0$
4	45	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-4} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_4$
4	105	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_6 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{-6} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{5})_0$

Table 3a: The Z_3 case: invariant operators.

As in the Z_2 case, to identify the chiral primaries one has to check whether the relation (15) is satisfied. This leads to the conclusions summarized in Table 3b.

Δ	$SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$
2	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0$
3	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_6$
3	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2$
3	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})_0$
4	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_4$
4	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})_2$
4	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_6$
4	$(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{5})_0$

Table 3b: The Z_3 case: chiral primary fields

The analysis for the remaining cases proceeds analogously. In the Z_4 case the invariant operators are those with the $U(1)$ charge $Q = 0 \bmod 8$, again with the exception of operators coming from the **10** and **45**. In this case the orientifold action on the two-form fields is

$$\begin{pmatrix} B \\ \tilde{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B \\ \tilde{B} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (23)$$

The surviving modes will be linear combinations of these:

$$\begin{aligned} B' &= B + i\tilde{B}, \\ \tilde{B}' &= B - i\tilde{B}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

The total phase is $\exp(i\pi(Q_R \pm 2)/4)$, so the surviving operators in the **10** and **45** are those with $Q_R = \pm 2 \bmod 8$. This leads to the results in tables 4a and 4b.

Δ	$SU(4)$	$SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$
2	20'	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$
3	10	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2$
3	50	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})_0$
4	45	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{-2}$
4	105	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_8 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{-8} \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{5})_0$

Table 4a: The Z_4 case: invariant operators.

Δ	$SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$
2	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0$
3	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2$
3	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})_0$
4	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})_2$
4	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_8$
4	$(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{5})_0$

Table 4b: The Z_4 case: chiral primary fields

Finally in the Z_6 case the invariant operators are those with $U(1)$ charge $Q = 0 \bmod 12$, again with the exception of operators coming from the **10** and **45**. The orientifold action on the two-form fields is

$$\begin{pmatrix} B \\ \tilde{B} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B \\ \tilde{B} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{25}$$

The total phase turns out to be $\exp(i\pi(Q_R \pm 2)/6)$, so the surviving operators in the **10** and **45** are those with $Q_R = \pm 2 \bmod 12$. This leads to the results in tables 5a and 5b.

Δ	$SU(4)$	$SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$
2	20'	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0$
3	10	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2$
3	50	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})_0$
4	45	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2})_{-2} \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_2 \oplus (\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2})_{-2}$
4	105	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{5})_0$

Table 5a: The Z_6 case: invariant operators.

Δ	$SU(2) \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_R$
2	$(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3})_0$
3	$(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})_2$
3	$(\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{4})_0$
4	$(\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{4})_2$
4	$(\mathbf{5}, \mathbf{5})_0$

Table 5b: The Z_6 case: chiral primary fields.

This is a prediction for the marginal and relevant perturbations, based on the the correspondence advocated in [1]. Since there is no known perturbative description of these fixed points it is not possible to give a representation of these operators here. One feature which is easy to check is the presence of the operator which corresponds to the parameter on the Coulomb branch⁶ (which appears in the Seiberg-Witten curves of these theories[22, 23]). In the $Sp(2N)$ case it is $tr(\phi^2)$, so it is the $\Delta = 2$, $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_4$ entry in Table 2b. In the E_6 , E_7 , E_8 cases the corresponding operator has dimension 3, 4 and 6 (essentially for symmetry reasons). Indeed, in the E_6 case (Table 3b) one finds the dimension 3 operator $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_6$, and in the E_7 case (Table 4b) one finds the dimension 4 operator $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_8$. There is no $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})_{12}$ operator in Table 5b, since only relevant and marginal operators are listed there.

5 Conclusions

It is clearly of interest to make use of Maldacena's duality in contexts, where other methods are not available. This note reported an analysis of spectra of superconformal field theories with 8 supersymmetries describing the

⁶We would like to thank Shamit Kachru for a discussion on this point.

worldvolume dynamics of D3-branes in the presence of D7-branes and an orientifold plane. These models can be viewed as points in F-theory moduli space characterized by a constant expectation value of the dilaton and Ramond-Ramond scalar. At these points the base of the K3 fibration becomes T^2/Z_n ($n = 2, 3, 4, 6$). The worldvolume theories on the D3-branes have flavour symmetry groups $SO(8)$, E_6 , E_7 and E_8 . In the Z_2 case where the field theory is known one can make a detailed comparison of the supergravity prediction with what is expected in the field theory, and as in other cases studied previously the spectra match. It should be noted however that the operators considered here account only for the open strings stretched between D3-branes. In addition to these operators there are those which account for strings stretched between D3- and D7-branes. They correspond to states appearing on the supergravity side. For instance there are gauge bosons coming from the coinciding 7-branes which couple to flavour currents in the CFT.

The Z_3 , Z_4 , Z_6 cases provide examples of superconformal systems without known field theory descriptions. Yet it is still possible to apply Maldacena's duality! The predictions reported here cannot be compared with anything known at this time, but perhaps these and similar calculations may provide information which will help to find a description of such theories.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Oren Bergman, Albion Lawrence, Nikita Nekrasov and especially Juan Maldacena for helpful discussions. We would also like to thank T. Hauer for pointing out some misprints in a previous version.

References

- [1] J. Maldacena, "The Large N Limit of Superconformal Theories and Supergravity", hep-th/9711200.
- [2] G. Gibbons, "Antigravitating Black Hole Solutions with Scalar Hair in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Supergravity", Nucl. Phys. B207 (1982) 337.
- [3] R. Kallosh, A. Peet, "Dilaton Black Holes Near the Horizon", Phys. Rev. B46 (1992) 5223.

- [4] S. Ferrara, G. Gibbons, R. Kallosh, “Black Holes and Critical Points in Moduli Space”, Nucl. Phys. B500 (1997) 75, hep-th/9702103.
- [5] E. Witten, “Anti-De Sitter Space and Holography”, hep-th/9802150.
- [6] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, “Gauge Theory Correlators from Non-critical String Theory”, hep-th/9802109.
- [7] D.Z. Freedman, S.D. Mathur, A.Matusis, L.Rastelli, “Correlation functions in the CFT(d)/AdS(d+1) correspondence”, hep-th/9804058.
- [8] S. Kachru, E. Silverstein, “4-D Conformal Theories And Strings On Orbifolds”, hep-th/9802183.
- [9] A. Lawrence, N. Nekrasov, C. Vafa “On Conformal Field Theories in Four Dimensions”, hep-th/9803015.
- [10] M. Bershadsky, Z. Kakushadze, C. Vafa, “String Expansion As Large N Expansion Of Gauge Theories”, hep-th/9803076.
- [11] Y. Oz, J. Terning, “Orbifolds Of $AdS(5) \times S^5$ and 4-D Conformal Field Theories”, hep-th/9803167.
- [12] M. Bershadsky, A. Johansen, “Large N limit of orbifold field theories”, hep-th/9803249.
- [13] S. Ferrara, A. Kehagias, H. Partouche, A. Zaffaroni, “Membranes and Fivebranes and Their AdS Suprgravity Duals”, hep-th/9803109.
- [14] Sergio Ferrara, Alberto Zaffaroni, “ $N = 1, N = 2$ 4-D Ssuperconformal Field Theories and Supergravity in AdS5”, hep-th/9803060.
- [15] A. Sen, “F-theory and Orientifolds”, Nucl.Phys. B475 (1996) 562.
- [16] K. Dasgupta, S. Mukhi, “F-Theory at Constant Coupling”, Phys.Lett. B385 (1996) 125.
- [17] N. Seiberg, “Non-trivial Fixed Points of The Renormalization Group in Six Dimensions”, Phys.Lett. B390 (1997) 169-171.
- [18] T. Banks, M. Douglas, N. Seiberg, “Probing F-theory With Branes”, Phys.Lett. B387 (1996) 278.

- [19] M.R. Douglas, D.A. Lowe, J.H. Schwarz, “Probing F-theory With Multiple Branes”, Phys.Lett. B394 (1997) 297.
- [20] K. Lee, P. Yi, “A Family of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Gauge Theories with Exact S-Duality”, hep-th/9706023.
- [21] E. Witten, “Some Comments On String Dynamics”, hep-th/9507121.
- [22] J.A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky, “An N=2 Superconformal Fixed Point with E_6 Global Symmetry” Nucl.Phys. B482 (1996).
- [23] J.A. Minahan, D. Nemeschansky, “Superconformal Fixed Points with E_n Global Symmetry”, Nucl.Phys. B489 (1997).
- [24] O.J. Ganor, D.R. Morrison, N. Seiberg, “Branes, Calabi-Yau Spaces, and Toroidal Compactification of the N=1 Six-Dimensional E_8 Theory”, Nucl.Phys. B487 (1997) 93-127.
- [25] Z. Kakushadze, “On Large N Gauge Theories from Orientifolds”, hep-th/9804184.
- [26] Z. Kakushadze, “Gauge Theories from Orientifolds and Large N Limit”, hep-th/9803214.
- [27] M. Flato and C. Fronsdal, “Quantum Field Theory Of Singletons: The Rac”, J. Math. Phys. 22 (1981) 1100.
- [28] C. Fronsdal, “The Dirac Supermultiplet”, Phys. Rev. D26 (1988) 1982.
- [29] E. Angelopoulos, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, and D. Sternheimer, “Massless Particles, Conformal Group, and De Sitter Universe,” Phys. Rev. D23 (1981) 1278.
- [30] C. Vafa “Evidence for F-Theory”, Nucl.Phys. B469 (1996) 403.
- [31] J. Polchinski, “TASI Lectures on D-Branes”, hep-th/9611050.
- [32] M.R. Gaberdiel, B. Zwiebach, “Tensor Constructions of Open String Theories I: Foundations”, Nucl.Phys. B505 (1997) 569; “Tensor Constructions of Open String Theories II: Vector bundles, D-branes and orientifold groups”, Phys.Lett. B410 (1997) 151.

- [33] M.R. Gaberdiel, T. Hauer, B. Zwiebach, “Open string - string junction transitions”, hep-th/9801205.
- [34] Y. Imamura, “ E_8 flavour multiplets”, hep-th/9802189; “D-particle creation on an orientifold plane”, Phys.Lett. B418 (1998) 55.
- [35] B.R. Greene, A. Shapere, C. Vafa, S.T. Yau, “Stringy Cosmic Strings and Non-Compact Calabi-Yau manifolds”, Nucl.Phys. B337 (1990) 1.
- [36] G. Horowitz, A. Strominger, “Black Strings and p-branes”, Nucl. Phys. B360 (1991) 197.
- [37] H.J. Kim, L.J. Romans, P. van Nieuwenhuizen, “Mass Spectrum Chiral Ten Dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supergravity on S^5 ”, Phys. Rev. D32 (1985) 389.
- [38] M. Gunyadin, N. Marcus, “The Spectrum of the S^5 Compactification of Chiral $\mathcal{N} = 2$, $D = 10$ Supergravity and the Unitary Supermultiplets of $U(2, 2/4)$ ”, Class. Quant. Grav. 2 (1985) L11.
- [39] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “Monopole Condensation, and Confinement in $N = 2$ Supersymmetric Yang-Mills Theory”, Nucl. Phys. B426 (1994)19; N. Seiberg and E. Witten, “Monopoles, Duality and Chiral Symmetry Breaking in $N = 2$ Supersymmetric QCD”, Nucl. Phys. 431 (1994) 484.